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#### Abstract

We study the remainder estimate for the asymptotics of the number of eigenvalues of the Neumann laplacian in a bounded open set $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with fractal boundary. We improve the previous results, showing that the Minkowski dimension and content should be replaced by the interior Minkowski dimension and content.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\Omega$ be an open bounded set in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with finitely many connected components. We consider the following eigenvalue problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
-\Delta u=\lambda u & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.1}\\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta}=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta}$ denotes a "normal derivative" along $\partial \Omega$. We interpret problem (1.1) in the variational sense, i.e., we say that $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue if there exists a nonzero $u \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla v=\lambda \int_{\Omega} u v \quad \forall v \in H^{1}(\Omega) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume through the paper that the spectrum is discrete. We will discuss below some hypothesis on $\Omega$ to ensure that the spectrum is a countable set without accumulation points. Let $\left\{\lambda_{j}\right\}_{j}$ be the eigenvalues of the Neumann laplacian where $0=\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2} \leq \ldots$ (repeated according to their multiplicity). Let $N(\lambda)=\#\{j$ : $\left.\lambda_{j}<\lambda\right\}$ be the associated spectral counting function. We are interested in the asymptotic behaviour of $N(\lambda)$.

When $\partial \Omega$ satisfies the so-called C-condition (see below), Metivier [Met1] showed that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(\lambda)=(1+o(1)) \varphi(\lambda) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. Here, $\varphi(\lambda)=(2 \pi)^{-n} \omega_{n}|\Omega|_{n} \lambda^{n / 2},|A|_{n}$ denotes the $n$-dimensional Lebesgue measure (or volume) of $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, and $\omega_{n}$ is the volume of the unit ball in $R^{n}$. For smooth boundaries and under some other geometric assumptions ([Iv, Ph]), one has a second term when $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(\lambda)=\varphi(\lambda)+c_{n}|\partial \Omega|_{n-1} \lambda^{(n-1) / 2}+o\left(\lambda^{(n-1) / 2}\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{n}$ is a constant which depends only on $n$.

[^0]For the Dirichlet laplacian, in 1979 M. Berry [Br] made the following conjecture for $\partial \Omega$ with Hausdorff fractal dimension $h$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(\lambda)=\varphi(\lambda)-c_{h, n} \mu_{h}(\partial \Omega) \lambda^{h / 2}+o\left(\lambda^{h / 2}\right) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conjecture cannot be true with $h$ being the Hausdorff dimension, [B-C], and the authors suggested to replace $h$ by $D_{M}$, the Minkowski (or box) dimension of the boundary.

In [Lap], the following asymptotic development was proved for the Dirichlet laplacian:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(\lambda)=\varphi(\lambda)+O\left(\lambda^{d / 2}\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, with $d$ the interior Minkowski dimension.
For the Neumann laplacian, Lapidus obtained a similar result replacing $d$ by $D_{M}$. This result was proved with a suitable extension of the Dirichlet-Neumann bracketing techniques, combined with precise estimates on the growth of the number of cubes in the tessellations of $\Omega$. Moreover, he conjectured:
Conjecture 1.1. Let $\Omega$ be a bounded open set of $R^{n}$ with boundary $\partial \Omega$ satisfying either the $C$-condition or the "extension property". Assume that $\partial \Omega$ is Minkowski measurable and that $D_{M}$ belongs to the open interval $(n-1, n)$. Then, for the Neumann laplacian, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(\lambda)=\varphi(\lambda)+c_{D_{M}, n} M_{D_{M}}(\partial \Omega) \lambda^{D_{M} / 2}+o\left(\lambda^{D_{M} / 2}\right) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{D_{M}, n}$ is a positive constant depending only on $n$ and $D_{M}$, and $M_{D_{M}}(\partial \Omega)$ denotes the Minkowski measure of $\partial \Omega$.

In this paper we show that $D_{M}$ should be replaced by $d$, the interior Minkowski dimension. Namely, we prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(\lambda)=\varphi(\lambda)+O\left(\lambda^{d / 2}\right) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, as in the Dirichlet problem. We believe that the method used in [Lap] suggest the Minkowski dimension as the parameter involved in the spectral asymtotic. Instead of the Dirichlet -Neumann bracketing, we use the wave equations techniques. Our proof follows the ideas of Hormander [Ho1] and Guillemin, [Gui].

The paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we introduce the necessary notation and definitions, and we give a precise formulation of our results. Finally, in section 3 we prove the main theorem.

## 2. Hypothesis and main results.

Let $A_{\varepsilon}$ denote the tubular neighborhood of radius $\varepsilon$ of a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, i. e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\varepsilon}=\{x \in \mathbb{R}: \operatorname{dist}(x, A) \leq \varepsilon\} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define the Minkowski dimension $D_{M}$ of $\partial \Omega$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{M}=\operatorname{Dim}_{M}(\partial \Omega)=\inf \left\{\delta \geq 0: \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \varepsilon^{-(n-\delta)}\left|(\partial \Omega)_{\varepsilon}\right|_{n}=0\right\} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a similar way, we define the interior Minkowski dimension as

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=\operatorname{dim}(\partial \Omega)=\inf \left\{\delta \geq 0: \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \varepsilon^{-(n-\delta)}\left|(\partial \Omega)_{\varepsilon} \cap \Omega\right|_{n}=0\right\} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is evident that $d \leq D_{M}$. Moreover, even when $|\partial \Omega|_{n}$ is positive, the interior Minkowski dimension can be strictly lower than $n$.

We define the interior Minkowski content of $\partial \Omega$ as the limit (whenever it exist):

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{i n t}(\partial \Omega, d)=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \varepsilon^{-(n-d)}\left|(\partial \Omega)_{\varepsilon} \cap \Omega\right|_{n} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Respectively, $M_{i n t}^{*}(\partial \Omega, d)\left(M_{* i n t}(\partial \Omega, d)\right.$ denotes the $d$-dimensional upper (lower) interior Minkowski content, replacing the limit in (2.4) by an upper (resp., lower) limit.

It is not known a geometrical condition on $\Omega$ equivalent to the discreteness of the spectrum, however, we can impose the following condition in $\Omega$ (see [Met1]) in order to ensure it:

Definition 2.1. The open set $\Omega$ satisfies the C-condition if there exist positive constants $\varepsilon_{0}, M, t_{0}$ with $\varepsilon_{0} M<t_{0}$, an open cover $\left\{\Omega_{j}\right\}_{1 \leq j \leq N}$ of $\partial \Omega_{\varepsilon}$, and nonzero vectors $h_{j}(1 \leq j \leq N)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $\forall j, \forall(x, y) \in \Omega_{j} \times \Omega_{j}$ with $|x-y|<\varepsilon_{0}$, and $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $M|x-y| \leq t \leq t_{0}$, the line segments $\left[x, x+t h_{j}\right],\left[y, y+t h_{j}\right]$ and $\left[x+t h_{j}, y+t h_{j}\right]$ are all contained in $\Omega$.

Condition C holds in many cases, for instance if $\Omega$ satisfies the so-called "segment condition" or the "cone condition", or if $\partial \Omega$ is locally Lipschitz. See [F-M] or [Met2] for details.

Another condition is the "extension property" (i.e., the existence of a continuous linear extension map from $H^{m}(\Omega)$ to $H^{m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ ). Under such hypothesis, it is possible to ensure that the embedding mapping from $H^{1}(\Omega)$ to $L^{2}(\Omega)$ is compact and as a consequence that the spectrum is discrete. Sufficient condition for the domain $\Omega$ to satisfy the "extension property" are obtained by Jones in [Jn].

Let us state our main results:
Theorem 2.2. Let $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be an open, bounded set, and $d \in[n-1, n]$ such that $M_{\text {Int }}^{*}(\partial \Omega, d)<+\infty$. We have the following estimates
i) If $d \in(n-1, n]$, then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(\lambda, \Omega)=\varphi(\lambda)+O\left(\lambda^{d / 2}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$.
ii) If $d=n-1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(\lambda, \Omega)=\varphi(\lambda)+O\left(\lambda^{d / 2} \ln (\lambda)\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$.
The next result deals with the degenerate case when $M_{I n t}^{*}(\partial \Omega, d)=+\infty$ :
Corollary 2.3. Let $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be an open, bounded set. Let $d \in[n-1, n]$ be the interior Minkowski dimension of $\partial \Omega$. Then we have the following remainder estimates

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(\lambda, \Omega)=\varphi(\lambda)+o\left(\lambda^{D / 2}\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, for all $D>d$.

Remark 2.4. The same asymtotics are valid changing the Neumann boundary condition by a mixed Dirichlet-Neumann boundary condition, i.e., imposing $u=0$ in $\Gamma \subset$ $\partial \Omega$ and $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta}=0$ in $\partial \Omega \backslash \Gamma$.

Remark 2.5. When $d=n$ and $M_{I n t}^{*}(\partial \Omega, d)=+\infty$, the Weyl's term $\varphi(\lambda)$ may be changed. See $[\mathrm{Pi}]$ for related examples.

## 3. Proof of the main theorem

We will need in the proof the following tauberian theorem (we refer the reader to [Gui] in [Ho2] for a proof):

Theorem 3.1. (Hormander) Let $\gamma_{n}:[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function $\gamma_{n}(\lambda)=\lambda^{n}$ and let $m:[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be any non-decreasing function of polynomial growth with $m(0)=0$. Suppose the cosine transforms of $\frac{d m}{d \lambda}, \frac{d \gamma_{n}}{d \lambda}$ are equal on the interval $|t| \leq \delta$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|m(\lambda)-\lambda^{n}\right| \leq C_{n}\left(\frac{1}{\delta^{n}}+\frac{\lambda^{n-1}}{\delta}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\lambda>0$, where $C_{n}$ is a universal constant depending only on $n$.

Let $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}, \ldots$ be the normalized eigenfunctions corresponding to the eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \cdots$. Let $E(\lambda)$ be the orthogonal projection on the space spanned by the eigenfunctions corresponding to $\lambda_{i} \leq \lambda^{2}$. The Schwartz kernel of $E(\lambda)$ is the spectral function

$$
\begin{equation*}
e(x, y ; \lambda)=\sum_{\lambda_{i} \leq \lambda^{2}} \varphi_{i}(x) \varphi_{i}(y) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, $N\left(\lambda^{2}\right)=\int e(x, x ; \lambda) d x$, and it is easy to see, using the maximum principle for parabolic equations, that $e(x, x ; \lambda) \leq C \lambda^{n} \forall x \in \Omega$ (see [Gui] for a proof).

Now we prove Theorem 2.2.
Proof. Following Hormander, the cosine transform

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, y ; t)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \cos (\lambda t) \frac{d}{d \lambda} e(x, y ; \lambda) d \lambda \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the fundamental solution of the wave equation (see $[R-T]$ for arbitrary open sets):

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t^{2}} u(x, y ; t) & =\Delta u(x, y ; t) & & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{3.4}\\
\frac{\partial u(x, y ; t)}{\partial \eta} & =0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{align*}\right.
$$

The free space solution $u_{0}(x, y ; t)$ of 3.4 has a closed form expression,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{o}(x, y ; t)=\frac{\omega_{n}}{(2 \pi)^{n}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \cos (s t) \frac{d}{d s} s^{n} d s \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega_{n}=\operatorname{vol}\left(S^{n-1}\right) / n$, and they must agree with the solution $u(x, y ; t)$ if $t<$ $\operatorname{dist}(y, \partial \Omega)$, as a consequence of the wave's finite speed of propagation.

Using the Tauberian Theorem (3.1), we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|e(x, x ; \lambda)-\frac{\omega_{n}}{(2 \pi)^{n}} \lambda^{n}\right| \leq C_{n}\left(\frac{1}{\delta^{n}}+\frac{\lambda^{n-1}}{\delta}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)>\delta$. We can estimate $\left|N(\lambda)-\frac{\omega_{n}}{(2 \pi)^{n}}\right| \Omega\left|\lambda^{n}\right|$ by

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|e(x, x ; \lambda)-\frac{\omega_{n} \lambda^{n}}{(2 \pi)^{n}} d x\right| \leq \int_{\Omega \backslash U}\left|e(x, x ; \lambda)-\frac{\omega_{n} \lambda^{n}}{(2 \pi)^{n}}\right| d x+\int_{U} C_{n}\left(\frac{1}{\delta^{n}}+\frac{\lambda^{n-1}}{\delta}\right) d x
$$

where $U=\{x \in \Omega: \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)>1 / \lambda\}$.
The second integral is majorized by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C \int_{1 / \lambda}^{t}\left(\frac{1}{\delta^{n}}+\frac{\lambda^{n-1}}{\delta}\right) d \delta \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t$ large enough, which is of order $O\left(\lambda^{n-1} \ln (\lambda)\right)$.
To estimate the other integral, we note that, when $\partial \Omega$ is sufficiently smooth, it is of order $O\left(\lambda^{n-1}\right)$ because we have $e(x, x ; \lambda) \leq C \lambda^{n}$ uniformly on $\Omega$, and $|\Omega \backslash U|_{n}$ is approximately $1 / \lambda$ times the perimeter.

In our situation, we can use the interior Minkowski content of $\partial \Omega$ to obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\Omega \backslash U|_{n}=\left|\partial \Omega_{1 / \lambda} \cap \Omega\right|_{n} \leq A\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^{n-d}}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $A=\sup _{\{\varepsilon<1\}} \varepsilon^{-(n-d)}\left|\partial \Omega_{\varepsilon} \cap \Omega\right|<\infty$. It follows immediately that the integral is of order $O\left(\lambda^{d}\right)$, which is greater than the other integral if and only if $d>n-1$.

Remark 3.2. Note that Corollary (2.3) follows from Theorem (2.2), since according to the definition of Minkowski dimension, $D>d$ implies $M_{\text {Int }}^{*}(\partial \Omega, d)<+\infty$.

Taking $d^{\prime}$ with $d<d^{\prime}<D$, we can replace the estimate $O\left(\lambda^{d^{\prime} / 2}\right)$ obtained from Theorem (2.2) by $o\left(\lambda^{D / 2}\right)$. Further, when $d=n-1$ we can substitute $O\left(\lambda^{d^{\prime} / 2} \ln (\lambda)\right)$ by $o\left(\lambda^{D / 2}\right)$.
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