

New Advertisements.

Teatro de la Alegria. B L J U E N E S, 25 DE FENERO.

BENEFICIO De la Señorita ROSE MARIE.

Teatro Franco Argentino SABADO 20 y DOMINGO 21 ENERO, 1872.

BAILE DE MASCARAS Director de Orquesta, E. Rayneri.

NEW AND CENTRAL AUCTION MART.

Mitre y Vedia and Co. CALLE CANGALLO, 50 & 65.

The Week's Sales. MONDAY, 22d, 8 p.m.—FOR CASH.

THURSDAY, 26th—At Noon. Great Sale of Furniture and Fancy Goods.

FRIDAY, 28th—At Noon—FOR CASH. Weekly Sale of Wines, Beers, Liquors.

SAMUEL BOOTEN'S Photographic Warehouse.

86—CALLE PIEDAD—86 OPENED on the 10th JANUARY.

THE NEW ESTABLISHMENT. (Dedicated exclusively to Photographic Art.)

ST. BEEDAN'S COLLEGE. CARMEN DE ARECO.

HOUSES TO LET FOR ENGLISH FAMILIES.

ONE, with Ten Rooms, boarded floors, and fire-places.

CHEAP—CHEAP—VERY CHEAP!!! G. W. M. Reynolds: The Mysteries of the Court of London.

FURNISHED ROOMS. TO LET, some comfortable, airy, furnished rooms.

TO LET, A FASHIONABLE NEW HOUSE, in the suburbs.

WANTED, a Cook, in an English family. Apply between twelve and two o'clock.

VAPOR EDWARD EVERETT Para el Rosario y Escalas.

COMPANIA DE NAVEGACION A VAPOR. RIO DE LA PLATA.

A R Hayman, A. Murray, Smith, Chalmers, R. W. Stoddard, Amador, B. Davis, Thomas Knight, Schmitt, J. S. Colton, H. J. Burt, A. J. Sharpe, Don Fisher, Frenon, J. M. D. P. Cannon, Collins, Harford, Mrs. Burns, D. F. Trumbull, J. J. Jones, W. G. B. Wright, Nock, J. J. Lynch, Conacher.

FOR RENT, P. O. L.

British Legion LIKE BRUCE, 319 tons register, Capt. Coleman.

FREDERICK DENNEHY, A GENT.

LA POPULAR ARGENTINA, 2133 CALLE PIEDAD.

FREDERICK DENNEHY, Supplies for the Public.

ATTENDS Office Hours, from ELIVEN O'LOCKS, to TWO P. M.

2133 CALLE PIEDAD PRIVATE LESSONS IN TELEGRAPHY.

Approved by the Inspector-General and the Administration of Provincial Telegraphs.

The Public are aware that in connection with National Telegraph Service there exists a Telegraphic School.

WILLIAMS waiting for a Coach to go to the city.

SUBSCRIPTIONS TO THE STANDARD. DAILY, per Month, \$20.

THE STANDARD. SATURDAY, JANUARY 20, 1872.

Special Telegram for "The Standard."

OGORMAN TO "STANDARD."

Montevideo, Jan. 18th, 8 1/2 P.M.

City of Rio brings French packet news from Rio.

Prince of Wales continues improving. Bank rate unchanged.

London, 22nd. Hides inactive, 74d. to 73d.

Tallow firm; novillo 48s, and superior carnero 47s to 47s 6d.

ARGENTINE DIPLOMACY. It is difficult to disentangle the mind from the web of difficulties that surround the Paraguayan Treaty.

THE ITALIAN RUPTURE. Last week we published the intelligence that Count Della Croce had definitively broken off diplomatic relations with the Montevideo Government.

THE BRITISH HOSPITAL. Some days ago we suggested a kind of compromise in this vexed question.

MISSENIARY APPEAL. Buenos Ayres, 19th Jan. 1872.

TO THE EDITORS OF THE STANDARD. Gentlemen:

I beg through your favor to place before the readers of your influential journal as genuine a claim on their charity as they can possibly co-operate in.

I am a member of the Society of Foreign Missions; I have spent 15 years as missionary in British India, and now, by order of my superiors, I am collecting for the support of our missionary.

Your readers are aware that the frequent massacre of Catholic priests in the Eastern Mission has made the fact notorious.

The steamship City of Rio Janeiro from Liverpool on the 20th ult. arrived at Montevideo on Thursday evening.

The City of Rio brings the European intelligence by the French packet Amazons which arrived at Rio shortly before the Liverpool steamer sailed.

His Royal Highness the Prince of Wales is out of all danger and rapidly recovering.

Why? Because missionary life requires much previous training.

The English are proverbially generous; the Irish no less so when they see a genuine claim.

Our readers may remember a note published in the STANDARD about a year ago addressed by His Grace the Duke of Manchester.

We were verbally informed yesterday of an extraordinary 'tornillo dojo' in one of our city prisons where the treatment of the inmates and general arrangements are said to be of the worst description.

Mr. G. F. Masterman, whose name was so prominently before the public during the Paraguayan war, and the author of a book entitled 'Seven Eventful Years in Paraguay.'

Advices from London mention that the news of the execution of the assassins who murdered Dr. Policarpo had a good effect.

Fighting has been going on near the Swiss Colony, two persons named Baez and Quintana disputing possession of the Comandancia at Rosario.

Overland mails by 'chasque' from Paysandú report Ordoñez with his division encamped a league from that town.

The Junta of Public Credit publish a notice that the funds devoted out of the new London loan (3 millions sterling) towards re-issuing the paper money at par, are more than enough for the purpose.

Gen. Gelly-Obes arrived yesterday from Buenos Ayres on the part of Mr. Baez, commissioner of the new light-house, who leaves shortly for Europe on his business.

Don E. Paullier is likely to make little out of the wreck of the America; after nine days' work the steamer Baez recovered a couple of anchors and chains; the rest is fused into one mass, and if boys be not placed there we may expect casualties.

Everybody is gone to the races, today having been declared a public holiday.

Mortality returns for 1871 show 4,350 deaths, of which no fewer than 1,277 were from small-pox, which was at its height in May and June (8 deaths daily).

D. Adolfo Alsina had a conference to-day with the government, and returns to-morrow to Buenos Ayres. It is rumored Gelly Obez is bearer of Blanco proposals.

rights at issue, if all my pacific efforts meet with only a desire to evade them.

Montevideo, Sept. 23rd. To the Italian Minister.

I have received your note marked 'private' stating that in view of the attitude of the Chambers on the Austrian treaty you hoped an effort to postpone your claims, and that you would adopt such measures as you deemed fittest for vindicating the claims.

The term having expired for examination of the documents respecting Italian claims, the undersigned, Envoy Extraordinary of the King of Italy, begs His Excellency Herrera Obes, without further delay, to fix a day and hour for final settlement of the question now pending.

To Minister Herrera Obes. On the 10th I notified your Excellency that the time was up, and begged you to fix a day and hour for final settlement.

The President of the Republic offers you a copy of the enclosed report, drawn up by me, on the matter of Italian claims for damages in the wars of Rosas.

The law of July 1853 only recognized claims for damage inflicted on settlers by military or civil authorities in this country up to Oct. 1851.

The law of April 1856 closed the matter, and a Committee of 6 gentlemen was appointed to wind up the claims, who gave a further period of 45 days, after which no more claims could be received.

The law of June 1856 ordered interested parties to present their titles, deeds or contracts for land within 3 months, after which all claims would be burned, unless supported.

The law of July 1856 allowed the Committee to make exception whenever the Bishop gave reasons.

The law of June 1856 declared all claims settled, returning some 40 to the interested parties and burning others, after restoring the documents and title-deeds.

Hence it appears that the claimants had no title but that the law of 1853 spontaneously gave them, by too much liberality, since the damage was done by an invader who devastated the country for 12 years, while our liberality has saddled the Republic with an enormous Nat. Debt which impedes our progress.

Therefore we can admit no claims but such as lodged in accordance with the laws of 1853, 1856 and 1862; and these may be submitted to a mixed committee as the French and English were (1862), and as the Italian also might have been, but for causes too numerous here for explanation.

But the Italian Minister to-day cannot pretend to any more right than the English and French commissioners had, for the length of time neither increases nor diminishes that right.

The claims in question are over 20 years old, dating from the civil wars of Rosas and Oribe. Why they have been allowed to lie so long in abeyance is inexplicable, since the French and English claims of the same period have been satisfied many years ago.

Possibly the unsettled state of Italy prevented the Government of that country from paying proper attention to this matter, and as it lay so long the Orientals naturally began to think they need not think any more of it.

More over the right of prescription annuls a debt of more than ten years standing. There is, however, a Spanish adage, that sooner or later we have all to pay our debts and the Orientals now find themselves in a disagreeable controversy with Count Della Croce, who presented his little bill last year, requesting immediate payment.

President Batlle's Minister of Foreign Affairs had observed the ordinary politeness which is due to every one, especially to a foreign diplomatist, and either refused to pay the bill as excessive, or offered to leave it (like the Alabama claims) to arbitration, in which case he would have applauded the action of His Excellency Herrera Obes.

But, unfortunately, when South American diplomats are crossed in their views they generally become grossly violent. Count Della Croce's letters were led unassessably, sometimes for twenty or thirty days; and what is still worse, one of his notes, marked 'private,' is published amongst the official documents in the 'Siflo.'

In order to better comprehend the nature of the quarrel, which (as Sir Lucius O'Drigger would say) is a very pretty one as it stands, we condense the notes exchanged as follows:—

Montevideo, Sept. 20th 1871. To H. Ex. Herrera Obes.

In my repeated interviews with you I often mentioned to you that Gen. Batlle seemed fairly to appreciate the sentiments of my Government in desiring me to make a final effort for the amicable settlement of the Italian claims. I can not mistake your Government's professions to be also convinced of the necessity of settling the matter of once.

I have to thank you for your friendly declarations, but the attitude of the Chambers regarding the Austrian and Spanish treaties makes me uneasy, and I beg to notify you that this speedy settlement of the claims is now become a question involving the dignity of my flag.

However anxious I am to save you trouble under existing circumstances I shall not hesitate to take immediate steps for vindicating the

rights at issue, if all my pacific efforts meet with only a desire to evade them.

Montevideo, Sept. 23rd. To the Italian Minister.

I have received your note marked 'private' stating that in view of the attitude of the Chambers on the Austrian treaty you hoped an effort to postpone your claims, and that you would adopt such measures as you deemed fittest for vindicating the claims.

The term having expired for examination of the documents respecting Italian claims, the undersigned, Envoy Extraordinary of the King of Italy, begs His Excellency Herrera Obes, without further delay, to fix a day and hour for final settlement of the question now pending.

To Minister Herrera Obes. On the 10th I notified your Excellency that the time was up, and begged you to fix a day and hour for final settlement.

The President of the Republic offers you a copy of the enclosed report, drawn up by me, on the matter of Italian claims for damages in the wars of Rosas.

The law of July 1853 only recognized claims for damage inflicted on settlers by military or civil authorities in this country up to Oct. 1851.

The law of April 1856 closed the matter, and a Committee of 6 gentlemen was appointed to wind up the claims, who gave a further period of 45 days, after which no more claims could be received.

The law of June 1856 ordered interested parties to present their titles, deeds or contracts for land within 3 months, after which all claims would be burned, unless supported.

The law of July 1856 allowed the Committee to make exception whenever the Bishop gave reasons.

The law of June 1856 declared all claims settled, returning some 40 to the interested parties and burning others, after restoring the documents and title-deeds.

Hence it appears that the claimants had no title but that the law of 1853 spontaneously gave them, by too much liberality, since the damage was done by an invader who devastated the country for 12 years, while our liberality has saddled the Republic with an enormous Nat. Debt which impedes our progress.

Therefore we can admit no claims but such as lodged in accordance with the laws of 1853, 1856 and 1862; and these may be submitted to a mixed committee as the French and English were (1862), and as the Italian also might have been, but for causes too numerous here for explanation.

But the Italian Minister to-day cannot pretend to any more right than the English and French commissioners had, for the length of time neither increases nor diminishes that right.

The claims in question are over 20 years old, dating from the civil wars of Rosas and Oribe. Why they have been allowed to lie so long in abeyance is inexplicable, since the French and English claims of the same period have been satisfied many years ago.

Possibly the unsettled state of Italy prevented the Government of that country from paying proper attention to this matter, and as it lay so long the Orientals naturally began to think they need not think any more of it.

More over the right of prescription annuls a debt of more than ten years standing. There is, however, a Spanish adage, that sooner or later we have all to pay our debts and the Orientals now find themselves in a disagreeable controversy with Count Della Croce, who presented his little bill last year, requesting immediate payment.

President Batlle's Minister of Foreign Affairs had observed the ordinary politeness which is due to every one, especially to a foreign diplomatist, and either refused to pay the bill as excessive, or offered to leave it (like the Alabama claims) to arbitration, in which case he would have applauded the action of His Excellency Herrera Obes.

But, unfortunately, when South American diplomats are crossed in their views they generally become grossly violent. Count Della Croce's letters were led unassessably, sometimes for twenty or thirty days; and what is still worse, one of his notes, marked 'private,' is published amongst the official documents in the 'Siflo.'

In order to better comprehend the nature of the quarrel, which (as Sir Lucius O'Drigger would say) is a very pretty one as it stands, we condense the notes exchanged as follows:—

Montevideo, Sept. 20th 1871. To H. Ex. Herrera Obes.

In my repeated interviews with you I often mentioned to you that Gen. Batlle seemed fairly to appreciate the sentiments of my Government in desiring me to make a final effort for the amicable settlement of the Italian claims. I can not mistake your Government's professions to be also convinced of the necessity of settling the matter of once.

I have to thank you for your friendly declarations, but the attitude of the Chambers regarding the Austrian and Spanish treaties makes me uneasy, and I beg to notify you that this speedy settlement of the claims is now become a question involving the dignity of my flag.

However anxious I am to save you trouble under existing circumstances I shall not hesitate to take immediate steps for vindicating the

rights at issue, if all my pacific efforts meet with only a desire to evade them.

Montevideo, Sept. 23rd. To the Italian Minister.

I have received your note marked 'private' stating that in view of the attitude of the Chambers on the Austrian treaty you hoped an effort to postpone your claims, and that you would adopt such measures as you deemed fittest for vindicating the claims.

The term having expired for examination of the documents respecting Italian claims, the undersigned, Envoy Extraordinary of the King of Italy, begs His Excellency Herrera Obes, without further delay, to fix a day and hour for final settlement of the question now pending.

To Minister Herrera Obes. On the 10th I notified your Excellency that the time was up, and begged you to fix a day and hour for final settlement.

The President of the Republic offers you a copy of the enclosed report, drawn up by me, on the matter of Italian claims for damages in the wars of Rosas.

The law of July 1853 only recognized claims for damage inflicted on settlers by military or civil authorities in this country up to Oct. 1851.

The law of April 1856 closed the matter, and a Committee of 6 gentlemen was appointed to wind up the claims, who gave a further period of 45 days, after which no more claims could be received.

The law of June 1856 ordered interested parties to present their titles, deeds or contracts for land within 3 months, after which all claims would be burned, unless supported.

The law of July 1856 allowed the Committee to make exception whenever the Bishop gave reasons.

The law of June 1856 declared all claims settled, returning some 40 to the interested parties and burning others, after restoring the documents and title-deeds.

Hence it appears that the claimants had no title but that the law of 1853 spontaneously gave them, by too much liberality, since the damage was done by an invader who devastated the country for 12 years, while our liberality has saddled the Republic with an enormous Nat. Debt which impedes our progress.

Therefore we can admit no claims but such as lodged in accordance with the laws of 1853, 1856 and 1862; and these may be submitted to a mixed committee as the French and English were (1862), and as the Italian also might have been, but for causes too numerous here for explanation.

But the Italian Minister to-day cannot pretend to any more right than the English and French commissioners had, for the length of time neither increases nor diminishes that right.

The claims in question are over 20 years old, dating from the civil wars of Rosas and Oribe. Why they have been allowed to lie so long in abeyance is inexplicable, since the French and English claims of the same period have been satisfied many years ago.

Possibly the unsettled state of Italy prevented the Government of that country from paying proper attention to this matter, and as it lay so long the Orientals naturally began to think they need not think any more of it.

More over the right of prescription annuls a debt of more than ten years standing. There is, however, a Spanish adage, that sooner or later we have all to pay our debts and the Orientals now find themselves in a disagreeable controversy with Count Della Croce, who presented his little bill last year, requesting immediate payment.

President Batlle's Minister of Foreign Affairs had observed the ordinary politeness which is due to every one, especially to a foreign diplomatist, and either refused to pay the bill as excessive, or offered to leave it (like the Alabama claims) to arbitration, in which case he would have applauded the action of His Excellency Herrera Obes.

But, unfortunately, when South American diplomats are crossed in their views they generally become grossly violent. Count Della Croce's letters were led unassessably, sometimes for twenty or thirty days; and what is still worse, one of his notes, marked 'private,' is published amongst the official documents in the 'Siflo.'

In order to better comprehend the nature of the quarrel, which (as Sir Lucius O'Drigger would say) is a very pretty one as it stands, we condense the notes exchanged as follows:—

Montevideo, Sept. 20th 1871. To H. Ex. Herrera Obes.

In my repeated interviews with you I often mentioned to you that Gen. Batlle seemed fairly to appreciate the sentiments of my Government in desiring me to make a final effort for the amicable settlement of the Italian claims. I can not mistake your Government's professions to be also convinced of the necessity of settling the matter of once.

I have to thank you for your friendly declarations, but the attitude of the Chambers regarding the Austrian and Spanish treaties makes me uneasy, and I beg to notify you that this speedy settlement of the claims is now become a question involving the dignity of my flag.

However anxious I am to save you trouble under existing circumstances I shall not hesitate to take immediate steps for vindicating the

rights at issue, if all my pacific efforts meet with only a desire to evade them.

Montevideo, Sept. 23rd. To the Italian Minister.

I have received your note marked 'private' stating that in view of the attitude of the Chambers on the Austrian treaty you hoped an effort to postpone your claims, and that you would adopt such measures as you deemed fittest for vindicating the claims.

The term having expired for examination of the documents respecting Italian claims, the undersigned, Envoy Extraordinary of the King of Italy, begs His Excellency Herrera Obes, without further delay, to fix a day and hour for final settlement of the question now pending.

To Minister Herrera Obes. On the 10th I notified your Excellency that the time was up, and begged you to fix a day and hour for final settlement.

The President of the Republic offers you a copy of the enclosed report, drawn up by me, on the matter of Italian claims for damages in the wars of Rosas.

The law of July 1853 only recognized claims for damage inflicted on settlers by military or civil authorities in this country up to Oct. 1851.

The law of April 1856 closed the matter, and a Committee of 6 gentlemen was appointed to wind up the claims, who gave a further period of 45 days, after which no more claims could be received.

The law of June 1856 ordered interested parties to present their titles, deeds or contracts for land within 3 months, after which all claims would be burned, unless supported.

The law of July 1856 allowed the Committee to make exception whenever the Bishop gave reasons.

The law of June 1856 declared all claims settled, returning some 40 to the interested parties and burning others, after restoring the documents and title-deeds.

Hence it appears that the claimants had no title but that the law of 1853 spontaneously gave them, by too much liberality, since the damage was done by an invader who devastated the country for 12 years, while our liberality has saddled the Republic with an enormous Nat. Debt which impedes our progress.

Therefore we can admit no claims but such as lodged in accordance with the laws of 1853, 1856 and 1862; and these may be submitted to a mixed committee as the French and English were (1862), and as the Italian also might have been, but for causes too numerous here for explanation.

But the Italian Minister to-day cannot pretend to any more right than the English and French commissioners had, for the length of time neither increases nor diminishes that right.

The claims in question are over 20 years old, dating from the civil wars of Rosas and Oribe. Why they have been allowed to lie so long in abeyance is inexplicable, since the French and English claims of the same period have been satisfied many years ago.

Possibly the unsettled state of Italy prevented the Government of that country from paying proper attention to this matter, and as it lay so long the Orientals naturally began to think they need not think any more of it.

More over the right of prescription annuls a debt of more than ten years standing. There is, however, a Spanish adage, that sooner or later we have all to pay our debts and the Orientals now find themselves in a disagreeable controversy with Count Della Croce, who presented his little bill last year, requesting immediate payment.

President Batlle's Minister of Foreign Affairs had observed the ordinary politeness which is due to every one, especially to a foreign diplomatist, and either refused to pay the bill as excessive, or offered to leave it (like the Alabama claims) to arbitration, in which case he would have applauded the action of His Excellency Herrera Obes.

But, unfortunately, when South American diplomats are crossed in their views they generally become grossly violent. Count Della Croce's letters were led unassessably, sometimes for twenty or thirty days; and what is still worse, one of his notes, marked 'private,' is published amongst the official documents in the 'Siflo.'

In order to better comprehend the nature of the quarrel, which (as Sir Lucius O'Drigger would say) is a very pretty one as it stands, we condense the notes exchanged as follows:—

Montevideo, Sept. 20th 1871. To H. Ex. Herrera Obes.

In my repeated interviews with you I often mentioned to you that Gen. Batlle seemed fairly to appreciate the sentiments of my Government in desiring me to make a final effort for the amicable settlement of the Italian claims. I can not mistake your Government's professions to be also convinced of the necessity of settling the matter of once.

I have to thank you for your friendly declarations, but the attitude of the Chambers regarding the Austrian and Spanish treaties makes me uneasy, and I beg to notify you that this speedy settlement of the claims is now become a question involving the dignity of my flag.

However anxious I am to save you trouble under existing circumstances I shall not hesitate to take immediate steps for vindicating the

rights at issue, if all my pacific efforts meet with only a desire to evade them.

Montevideo, Sept. 23rd. To the Italian Minister.

I have received your note marked 'private' stating that in view of the attitude of the Chambers on the Austrian treaty you hoped an effort to postpone your claims, and that you would adopt such measures as you deemed fittest for vindicating the claims.

The term having expired for examination of the documents respecting Italian claims, the undersigned, Envoy Extraordinary of the King of Italy, begs His Excellency Herrera Obes, without further delay, to fix a day and hour for final settlement of the question now pending.

To Minister Herrera Obes. On the 10th I notified your Excellency that the time was up, and begged you to fix a day and hour for final settlement.

The President of the Republic offers you a copy of the enclosed report, drawn up by me, on the matter of Italian claims for damages in the wars of Rosas.

The law of July 1853 only recognized claims for damage inflicted on settlers by military or civil authorities in this country up to Oct. 1851.

The law of April 1856 closed the matter, and a Committee of 6 gentlemen was appointed to wind up the claims, who gave a further period of 45 days, after which no more claims could be received.

The law of June 1856 ordered interested parties to present their titles, deeds or contracts for land within 3 months, after which all claims would be burned, unless supported.

The law of July 1856 allowed the Committee to make exception whenever the Bishop gave reasons.

The law of June 1856 declared all claims settled, returning some 40 to the interested parties and burning others, after restoring the documents and title-deeds.

Hence it appears that the claimants had no title but that the law of 1853 spontaneously gave them, by too much liberality, since the damage was done by an invader who devastated the country for 12 years, while our liberality has saddled the Republic with an enormous Nat. Debt which impedes our progress.

Therefore we can admit no claims but such as lodged in accordance with the laws of 1853, 1856 and 1862; and these may be submitted to a mixed committee as the French and English were (1862), and as the Italian also might have been, but for causes too numerous here for explanation.

But the Italian Minister to-day cannot pretend to any more right than the English and French commissioners had, for the length of time neither increases nor diminishes that right.

The claims in question are over 20 years old, dating from the civil wars of Rosas and Oribe. Why they have been allowed to lie so long in abeyance is inexplicable, since the French and English claims of the same period have been satisfied many years ago.

Possibly the unsettled state of Italy prevented the Government of that country from paying proper attention to this matter, and as it lay so long the Orientals naturally began to think they need not think any more of it.

More over the right of prescription annuls a debt of more than ten years standing. There is, however, a Spanish

