

NEW ADVERTISEMENTS

CONSULATE OF THE NETHERLANDS

REMOVED to 29-CALLE PARQUE-29 Buenos Ayres, June 16, 1871.

Thomas Holmes and Co.

180-CALLE FLORIDA-1413

WINTER GOODS Daily arriving.

AN EARLY INSPECTION Is respectfully requested.

BOOTH & STEVENS

BEG to inform their Customers and the Inhabitants of Buenos Ayres, that they have recently added to their well-known

- WINE, SPIRITS, and CIGARS, &c. Stock of Choice of the following Goods: Tex. as per special advertisement.

BOOTH & STEVENS

193-CALLE FLORIDA-1933

INFORMATION is wanted respecting the whereabouts of Patrick Nolan and Bridget Nolan, brother and sister of John Nolan, formerly of Kevin-street, in the City of Dublin.

THOMAS J. WHITE, Solicitor, 20 Upper Queen's, Dublin, Ireland.

THE VERY CELEBRATED MEDICINES

Prepared by Dr. J. C. AYER and CO., Do not owe the name they have acquired in the United States to the extensive system of advertising adopted by other well-known firms.

AYER'S SANSAPARILLA Is a Household Word in the United States of North America, and is generally found to be the best preparation of its kind.

AYER'S CHERRY PECTORAL, For the various affections of the Throat and Chest, such as Colds, Coughs, Bronchitis, &c.

AYER'S CATHARTIC PILLS, A most useful and safe Family Pill, prepared with great care, and put up in glass bottles.

CRANWELL and MURRAY, 66-Reconquista-66

PRIVATE LESSONS. SPANISH, FRENCH and BOOK-KEEPING.

191 TACUARI, 188 3p jels

MAXIMILIANO RAYNACH, Q. E. F. D.

Falleció hoy 17 del corriente, en su vida y de sus deudas se han liquidado las relaciones para acompañar a su cuerpo mortal al Cementerio del Norte hoy Domingo a las 12 y 1/2 de la tarde en el sepulchro calle Corrientes No. 178.

PIANO GRANDE, Armonioso de 16 registros con los sonidos nuevos y de 1/2 de 1/2.

CUARTO PARA hombre solo-Se ofrecen un hombre de confianza para arreglar con recomendaciones.

SPACIOUS DWELLING HOUSE. To let in the Plaza Retiro, Calle San Martin 315.

TO LET-A Handsome and well furnished Room in a respectable family for a single gentleman.

TO LET-A nice Sala with round window in the Altos furnished or unfurnished in a small German family.

AN A. No. 1 BOOK-KEEPER. Superior accountant and correspondent in the English, French, German, and Spanish languages.

WANTED, a situation as MAN COOK; has good recommendations. Apply at Calle 33 No. 127.

WANTED for a small English Family a Female Servant for General Housework.

WANTED for a small English Family a Female Servant for General Housework.

WANTED for a small English Family a Female Servant for General Housework.

WANTED for a small English Family a Female Servant for General Housework.

WANTED for a small English Family a Female Servant for General Housework.

MANUEL PEREZ DEL CERRO

Contador Publico de Numero.

Ha rescribio en Paris de la contabilidad en general, en la Calle de Vito No. 191 (alto), donde ofrece sus servicios profesionales.

Del mismo modo se encargara de la transaccion y arreglo final de testamentos o de cualquier otro asunto desde su principio, bajo la direccion del Abogado que indica los intereses, cuando sea necesario la intervencion de un abogado y siempre que aquellos tengan preferencia de eleccion.

Contando con los Copistas, que trabajan de dia y de noche, y con los originales de los trabajos, cuando sea necesario a la regulacion de quien corresponda, segun eligen los interesados.

Hace saber a sus clientes que conserva y conserva en el Archivo especial, los originales de los trabajos, para que en todo tiempo puedan servir en el caso de extravio de expedientes.

Buenos Ayres, Junio 16 de 1871, 177 16p j18

LA COMPANIA ARGENTINA DE SEGUROS MARITIMOS.

Por orden de la Direccion, se convoca por tercera y ultima vez a Junta General ordinaria de accionistas, a las 10 de la noche del dia 15 de Julio de 1871, en la Sala de sesiones de la Comision de Comercio, Triunfales y G. B. Nacional y Provincial, asi como de arbitramiento.

BOOTH & STEVENS, 193-CALLE FLORIDA-1933

ERNESTO INGVERSON Has removed his Office from 36 RECONQUISTA to 74-RECONQUISTA-74.

BRITISH BARQUE ARGYLESHIRE. Mr. James Robertson, Consignee of goods by this vessel from Glasgow, is requested to call on the undersigned with reference to the cargo.

THE WELL-KNOWN GAZETTE AND HARPER'S MAGAZINE; FRANK LESLIE'S WEEKLY ILLUSTRATED, AND HARPER'S WEEKLY ILLUSTRATED.

ARRANGEMENTS have been made with agents in London with reference to the above, orders have already been sent for the same.

That as regards the injuries done by the rebel forces of the Argentine Government, since the Argentine Government cannot be responsible for injuries sustained by British subjects in a civil war, or for any property taken from them by the rebels, this being, as you add, a principle of international law clearly admitted by Her Majesty's Government.

LETTERS PER LAST MAIL. John Scallan, Mrs. Knapp, Mrs. Fynn, J. Williams, Dr. Conner, W. Martin, M. Machin, M. Thomas, John Walsh, B. Wood, H. Brown, H. Taylor, Mrs. Marsh, E. Cooper, M. D., R. W. Stone, G. Parker, Mrs. George, H. G. H. Goodell, J. Kelly, Mrs. Burns, R. W. Stone, G. Spenser, C. D. Jones, Julia McCracken, W. Marshall, C. L. Cooper, J. McCune, James Norton, J. Marshall.

LETTERS. At the Office of the STANDARD for Messrs. Spooner, and Schofield, Carpenter, Plaza Loren.

SUBSCRIPTION TO THE STANDARD. DAILY, per Month \$36 WEEKLY, per Month \$12

THE NOVELTY DEPOT. All the latest Patents in Stock. Wanted-An English Boy.

THE STANDARD. Will mail sunday silver non andeum dicere.

SUNDAY, JUNE 18, 1871.

BRITISH CLAIMS IN ENTRE RIOS. The important question of indemnity to the British subjects who have sustained injury by the recent rebellion in Entre Rios has given rise to the subjoined correspondence between Her Majesty's Charge d'Affaires and the Argentine Minister of Foreign Affairs.

Meantime we must call attention to the difference which exists between the case at issue and that of the British residents in France whose claim for compensation has been ignored by Earl Granville.

Neither does the Government hold itself responsible for injuries done by the National troops in the lawful exercise of the rights of war in a hostile country, against the revolted inhabitants, since the foreigners who live and carry on trade within the rebel territory do so at their own risk; or, furthermore because the fact of their residing and carrying on business there makes them in some manner enemies in common with the rest of the inhabitants; hence, in all such cases no nation capable of holding its own or rejecting such unjust pretensions, has ever admitted or satisfied demands of this nature.

Thus when M. Sartiges demanded indemnity for the damages done in the bombardment of Greytown, Mr. Mar-

shall not be held responsible for injuries done by the National troops in the lawful exercise of the rights of war in a hostile country, against the revolted inhabitants, since the foreigners who live and carry on trade within the rebel territory do so at their own risk; or, furthermore because the fact of their residing and carrying on business there makes them in some manner enemies in common with the rest of the inhabitants; hence, in all such cases no nation capable of holding its own or rejecting such unjust pretensions, has ever admitted or satisfied demands of this nature.

As respects the bombardment of Greytown by the Americans, to which Mr. Tejedor alludes, it has no parity with the present case, since the Americans were making war on the King of Moquito. The question with Texas and Naples is somewhat parallel, but we are not acquainted with the merits of the case, to form any judgment. More similar than any is the instance of Englishmen who sustained injury in the Southern States during the American war, and for whom the Alabama Commissioners have waived all claim. But then Great Britain recognized the Southern as belligerents, whereas Her Majesty's Government never recognized Lopez Jordan or his administration.

OUR PARIS LETTER. Paris, May 8th, 1871. The dreadful artillery dialogue continues, and the mitrailleuse reports fall like the blows of a sledge-hammer on an anvil. Houses are demolished with an unparalling rapidity and impartiality; fires break out with a suddenness which is appalling and an intensity that laughs at subjection. Brothers dispute the ground inch by inch, struggle blit to blit and fraternize only in death. The soil trembles under the roar of cannon-it is the earthquake year for Paris, and a gentleman from Peru has assured me he imagines often he is in his own country. Deputation after Deputation passes between the combatants, but each replies 'No Surrender.' M. Thiers pursues his blood and iron policy, resolved to bombard Paris into National fraternity; the Federals here equally determined to keep him outside the city, where they find more than six weeks ago. France feels she is slipping away from the sympathy of foreign nations, but which reserve for her a profound pity. The bulletins of the civil war shock, and in any case the conquerors must have their wreaths not in laurel but in cypress.

Like another Mephistopheles, Prince Bismarck appears on the scene in this 'Fight for the Commune' by promising assuring the new Germans of Alsace and Lorraine they shall have full 'Communal' rights. It is a temptation almost powerful enough to induce Parisians to emigrate to these happy lands-By the bye, as a piece of vital statistics, it would be interesting to know how many inhabitants of the conquered territory have left, to rest French, rather than German against their will.

M. Picard, who, as Home Minister, announces to the Assembly the progress of MacMahon, assures us that this time the insurrection in Paris approaches its end. Few in the city can discover the evidence of such an assertion, although there are few who do not long for such a consummation. We have heard this assurance so often that it is now ranked among the 'positively last nights' of the theatres, or the 'final farwells' of popular artists. Moral, rather than physical, force should conquer Paris; if otherwise, the dissensions and conspiracies that will follow after victory will prove more fatal to France than the timely concession of allowing Paris to elect her own Mayor, direct her own municipal agents and police, and decide upon her civic expenditure. Grant her these, M. Thiers, or atleast offer them to her, and the capital can be talked over to an arrangement. But the Republic must be maintained at the same time, or the question of this form of government fully and fairly submitted to the nation. Your present Assembly is a convulse of monarchical factions and faint-hearted republicans; the Russian Minister of Foreign Affairs did not hesitate to affirm that even the London Cabinet admitted that the matter in hand was one of the gravest questions for the independence of Continental States, and that the Queen's Government would therefore desist from its pretensions. For if it were otherwise, he added, the presence of British subjects in any foreign country would be a real scourge, and serve as an instrument for the revolutionaries of all countries to create difficulties for their respective Governments. England withdrew the claims.

The same principle was laid down in 1851 by the United States when Mr. Secretary Webster rejected the Spanish claims in reference to the New Orleans riots on the grounds that-

"Foreigners established within the territory of the Republic, to transact their affairs, become ipso facto subject to the same laws and tribunals as the citizens of the country, and the Federal Government cannot be responsible for the consequences of a riot."

Spain also admitted the same principle in the claims of Spanish subjects against the Government of Caracas for damages sustained in the revolution of Venezuela, in 1859. The same law has been applied during the last revolution in Poland, and in reference to the recent American war; in both which cases numbers of foreign residents sustained heavy losses, and, nevertheless no European State has thought fit to lay claims against the Governments in question.

Finally the same doctrine is upheld by our own Law of October 1st 1839, with reference both to natives and foreigners, and which the latter are bound to respect from the moment they set foot on the territory of the Republic.

Having given these explanations I have now the pleasure to renew the assurance of my highest esteem.

Corles Tejedor

As respects the bombardment of Greytown by the Americans, to which Mr. Tejedor alludes, it has no parity with the present case, since the Americans were making war on the King of Moquito. The question with Texas and Naples is somewhat parallel, but we are not acquainted with the merits of the case, to form any judgment. More similar than any is the instance of Englishmen who sustained injury in the Southern States during the American war, and for whom the Alabama Commissioners have waived all claim. But then Great Britain recognized the Southern as belligerents, whereas Her Majesty's Government never recognized Lopez Jordan or his administration.

OUR PARIS LETTER. Paris, May 8th, 1871. The dreadful artillery dialogue continues, and the mitrailleuse reports fall like the blows of a sledge-hammer on an anvil. Houses are demolished with an unparalling rapidity and impartiality; fires break out with a suddenness which is appalling and an intensity that laughs at subjection. Brothers dispute the ground inch by inch, struggle blit to blit and fraternize only in death. The soil trembles under the roar of cannon-it is the earthquake year for Paris, and a gentleman from Peru has assured me he imagines often he is in his own country. Deputation after Deputation passes between the combatants, but each replies 'No Surrender.' M. Thiers pursues his blood and iron policy, resolved to bombard Paris into National fraternity; the Federals here equally determined to keep him outside the city, where they find more than six weeks ago. France feels she is slipping away from the sympathy of foreign nations, but which reserve for her a profound pity. The bulletins of the civil war shock, and in any case the conquerors must have their wreaths not in laurel but in cypress.

Like another Mephistopheles, Prince Bismarck appears on the scene in this 'Fight for the Commune' by promising assuring the new Germans of Alsace and Lorraine they shall have full 'Communal' rights. It is a temptation almost powerful enough to induce Parisians to emigrate to these happy lands-By the bye, as a piece of vital statistics, it would be interesting to know how many inhabitants of the conquered territory have left, to rest French, rather than German against their will.

M. Picard, who, as Home Minister, announces to the Assembly the progress of MacMahon, assures us that this time the insurrection in Paris approaches its end. Few in the city can discover the evidence of such an assertion, although there are few who do not long for such a consummation. We have heard this assurance so often that it is now ranked among the 'positively last nights' of the theatres, or the 'final farwells' of popular artists. Moral, rather than physical, force should conquer Paris; if otherwise, the dissensions and conspiracies that will follow after victory will prove more fatal to France than the timely concession of allowing Paris to elect her own Mayor, direct her own municipal agents and police, and decide upon her civic expenditure. Grant her these, M. Thiers, or atleast offer them to her, and the capital can be talked over to an arrangement. But the Republic must be maintained at the same time, or the question of this form of government fully and fairly submitted to the nation. Your present Assembly is a convulse of monarchical factions and faint-hearted republicans; the Russian Minister of Foreign Affairs did not hesitate to affirm that even the London Cabinet admitted that the matter in hand was one of the gravest questions for the independence of Continental States, and that the Queen's Government would therefore desist from its pretensions. For if it were otherwise, he added, the presence of British subjects in any foreign country would be a real scourge, and serve as an instrument for the revolutionaries of all countries to create difficulties for their respective Governments. England withdrew the claims.

The same principle was laid down in 1851 by the United States when Mr. Secretary Webster rejected the Spanish claims in reference to the New Orleans riots on the grounds that-

"Foreigners established within the territory of the Republic, to transact their affairs, become ipso facto subject to the same laws and tribunals as the citizens of the country, and the Federal Government cannot be responsible for the consequences of a riot."

Spain also admitted the same principle in the claims of Spanish subjects against the Government of Caracas for damages sustained in the revolution of Venezuela, in 1859. The same law has been applied during the last revolution in Poland, and in reference to the recent American war; in both which cases numbers of foreign residents sustained heavy losses, and, nevertheless no European State has thought fit to lay claims against the Governments in question.

Finally the same doctrine is upheld by our own Law of October 1st 1839, with reference both to natives and foreigners, and which the latter are bound to respect from the moment they set foot on the territory of the Republic.

Having given these explanations I have now the pleasure to renew the assurance of my highest esteem.

Corles Tejedor

As respects the bombardment of Greytown by the Americans, to which Mr. Tejedor alludes, it has no parity with the present case, since the Americans were making war on the King of Moquito. The question with Texas and Naples is somewhat parallel, but we are not acquainted with the merits of the case, to form any judgment. More similar than any is the instance of Englishmen who sustained injury in the Southern States during the American war, and for whom the Alabama Commissioners have waived all claim. But then Great Britain recognized the Southern as belligerents, whereas Her Majesty's Government never recognized Lopez Jordan or his administration.

OUR PARIS LETTER. Paris, May 8th, 1871. The dreadful artillery dialogue continues, and the mitrailleuse reports fall like the blows of a sledge-hammer on an anvil. Houses are demolished with an unparalling rapidity and impartiality; fires break out with a suddenness which is appalling and an intensity that laughs at subjection. Brothers dispute the ground inch by inch, struggle blit to blit and fraternize only in death. The soil trembles under the roar of cannon-it is the earthquake year for Paris, and a gentleman from Peru has assured me he imagines often he is in his own country. Deputation after Deputation passes between the combatants, but each replies 'No Surrender.' M. Thiers pursues his blood and iron policy, resolved to bombard Paris into National fraternity; the Federals here equally determined to keep him outside the city, where they find more than six weeks ago. France feels she is slipping away from the sympathy of foreign nations, but which reserve for her a profound pity. The bulletins of the civil war shock, and in any case the conquerors must have their wreaths not in laurel but in cypress.

Like another Mephistopheles, Prince Bismarck appears on the scene in this 'Fight for the Commune' by promising assuring the new Germans of Alsace and Lorraine they shall have full 'Communal' rights. It is a temptation almost powerful enough to induce Parisians to emigrate to these happy lands-By the bye, as a piece of vital statistics, it would be interesting to know how many inhabitants of the conquered territory have left, to rest French, rather than German against their will.

M. Picard, who, as Home Minister, announces to the Assembly the progress of MacMahon, assures us that this time the insurrection in Paris approaches its end. Few in the city can discover the evidence of such an assertion, although there are few who do not long for such a consummation. We have heard this assurance so often that it is now ranked among the 'positively last nights' of the theatres, or the 'final farwells' of popular artists. Moral, rather than physical, force should conquer Paris; if otherwise, the dissensions and conspiracies that will follow after victory will prove more fatal to France than the timely concession of allowing Paris to elect her own Mayor, direct her own municipal agents and police, and decide upon her civic expenditure. Grant her these, M. Thiers, or atleast offer them to her, and the capital can be talked over to an arrangement. But the Republic must be maintained at the same time, or the question of this form of government fully and fairly submitted to the nation. Your present Assembly is a convulse of monarchical factions and faint-hearted republicans; the Russian Minister of Foreign Affairs did not hesitate to affirm that even the London Cabinet admitted that the matter in hand was one of the gravest questions for the independence of Continental States, and that the Queen's Government would therefore desist from its pretensions. For if it were otherwise, he added, the presence of British subjects in any foreign country would be a real scourge, and serve as an instrument for the revolutionaries of all countries to create difficulties for their respective Governments. England withdrew the claims.

The same principle was laid down in 1851 by the United States when Mr. Secretary Webster rejected the Spanish claims in reference to the New Orleans riots on the grounds that-

"Foreigners established within the territory of the Republic, to transact their affairs, become ipso facto subject to the same laws and tribunals as the citizens of the country, and the Federal Government cannot be responsible for the consequences of a riot."

Spain also admitted the same principle in the claims of Spanish subjects against the Government of Caracas for damages sustained in the revolution of Venezuela, in 1859. The same law has been applied during the last revolution in Poland, and in reference to the recent American war; in both which cases numbers of foreign residents sustained heavy losses, and, nevertheless no European State has thought fit to lay claims against the Governments in question.

Finally the same doctrine is upheld by our own Law of October 1st 1839, with reference both to natives and foreigners, and which the latter are bound to respect from the moment they set foot on the territory of the Republic.

Having given these explanations I have now the pleasure to renew the assurance of my highest esteem.

Corles Tejedor

As respects the bombardment of Greytown by the Americans, to which Mr. Tejedor alludes, it has no parity with the present case, since the Americans were making war on the King of Moquito. The question with Texas and Naples is somewhat parallel, but we are not acquainted with the merits of the case, to form any judgment. More similar than any is the instance of Englishmen who sustained injury in the Southern States during the American war, and for whom the Alabama Commissioners have waived all claim. But then Great Britain recognized the Southern as belligerents, whereas Her Majesty's Government never recognized Lopez Jordan or his administration.

OUR PARIS LETTER. Paris, May 8th, 1871. The dreadful artillery dialogue continues, and the mitrailleuse reports fall like the blows of a sledge-hammer on an anvil. Houses are demolished with an unparalling rapidity and impartiality; fires break out with a suddenness which is appalling and an intensity that laughs at subjection. Brothers dispute the ground inch by inch, struggle blit to blit and fraternize only in death. The soil trembles under the roar of cannon-it is the earthquake year for Paris, and a gentleman from Peru has assured me he imagines often he is in his own country. Deputation after Deputation passes between the combatants, but each replies 'No Surrender.' M. Thiers pursues his blood and iron policy, resolved to bombard Paris into National fraternity; the Federals here equally determined to keep him outside the city, where they find more than six weeks ago. France feels she is slipping away from the sympathy of foreign nations, but which reserve for her a profound pity. The bulletins of the civil war shock, and in any case the conquerors must have their wreaths not in laurel but in cypress.

Like another Mephistopheles, Prince Bismarck appears on the scene in this 'Fight for the Commune' by promising assuring the new Germans of Alsace and Lorraine they shall have full 'Communal' rights. It is a temptation almost powerful enough to induce Parisians to emigrate to these happy lands-By the bye, as a piece of vital statistics, it would be interesting to know how many inhabitants of the conquered territory have left, to rest French, rather than German against their will.

M. Picard, who, as Home Minister, announces to the Assembly the progress of MacMahon, assures us that this time the insurrection in Paris approaches its end. Few in the city can discover the evidence of such an assertion, although there are few who do not long for such a consummation. We have heard this assurance so often that it is now ranked among the 'positively last nights' of the theatres, or the 'final farwells' of popular artists. Moral, rather than physical, force should conquer Paris; if otherwise, the dissensions and conspiracies that will follow after victory will prove more fatal to France than the timely concession of allowing Paris to elect her own Mayor, direct her own municipal agents and police, and decide upon her civic expenditure. Grant her these, M. Thiers, or atleast offer them to her, and the capital can be talked over to an arrangement. But the Republic must be maintained at the same time, or the question of this form of government fully and fairly submitted to the nation. Your present Assembly is a convulse of monarchical factions and faint-hearted republicans; the Russian Minister of Foreign Affairs did not hesitate to affirm that even the London Cabinet admitted that the matter in hand was one of the gravest questions for the independence of Continental States, and that the Queen's Government would therefore desist from its pretensions. For if it were otherwise, he added, the presence of British subjects in any foreign country would be a real scourge, and serve as an instrument for the revolutionaries of all countries to create difficulties for their respective Governments. England withdrew the claims.

The same principle was laid down in 1851 by the United States when Mr. Secretary Webster rejected the Spanish claims in reference to the New Orleans riots on the grounds that-

"Foreigners established within the territory of the Republic, to transact their affairs, become ipso facto subject to the same laws and tribunals as the citizens of the country, and the Federal Government cannot be responsible for the consequences of a riot."

Spain also admitted the same principle in the claims of Spanish subjects against the Government of Caracas for damages sustained in the revolution of Venezuela, in 1859. The same law has been applied during the last revolution in Poland, and in reference to the recent American war; in both which cases numbers of foreign residents sustained heavy losses, and, nevertheless no European State has thought fit to lay claims against the Governments in question.

Finally the same doctrine is upheld by our own Law of October 1st 1839, with reference both to natives and foreigners, and which the latter are bound to respect from the moment they set foot on the territory of the Republic.

Having given these explanations I have now the pleasure to renew the assurance of my highest esteem.

Corles Tejedor

As respects the bombardment of Greytown by the Americans, to which Mr. Tejedor alludes, it has no parity with the present case, since the Americans were making war on the King of Moquito. The question with Texas and Naples is somewhat parallel, but we are not acquainted with the merits of the case, to form any judgment. More similar than any is the instance of Englishmen who sustained injury in the Southern States during the American war, and for whom the Alabama Commissioners have waived all claim. But then Great Britain recognized the Southern as belligerents, whereas Her Majesty's Government never recognized Lopez Jordan or his administration.

OUR PARIS LETTER. Paris, May 8th, 1871. The dreadful artillery dialogue continues, and the mitrailleuse reports fall like the blows of a sledge-hammer on an anvil. Houses are demolished with an unparalling rapidity and impartiality; fires break out with a suddenness which is appalling and an intensity that laughs at subjection. Brothers dispute the ground inch by inch, struggle blit to blit and fraternize only in death. The soil trembles under the roar of cannon-it is the earthquake year for Paris, and a gentleman from Peru has assured me he imagines often he is in his own country. Deputation after Deputation passes between the combatants, but each replies 'No Surrender.' M. Thiers pursues his blood and iron policy, resolved to bombard Paris into National fraternity; the Federals here equally determined to keep him outside the city, where they find more than six weeks ago. France feels she is slipping away from the sympathy of foreign nations, but which reserve for her a profound pity. The bulletins of the civil war shock, and in any case the conquerors must have their wreaths not in laurel but in cypress.

Like another Mephistopheles, Prince Bismarck appears on the scene in this 'Fight for the Commune' by promising assuring the new Germans of Alsace and Lorraine they shall have full 'Communal' rights. It is a temptation almost powerful enough to induce Parisians to emigrate to these happy lands-By the bye, as a piece of vital statistics, it would be interesting to know how many inhabitants of the conquered territory have left, to rest French, rather than German against their will.

M. Picard, who, as Home Minister, announces to the Assembly the progress of MacMahon, assures us that this time the insurrection in Paris approaches its end. Few in the city can discover the evidence of such an assertion, although there are few who do not long for such a consummation. We have heard this assurance so often that it is now ranked among the 'positively last nights' of the theatres, or the 'final farwells' of popular artists. Moral, rather than physical, force should conquer Paris; if otherwise, the dissensions and conspiracies that will follow after victory will prove more fatal to France than the timely concession of allowing Paris to elect her own Mayor, direct her own municipal agents and police, and decide upon her civic expenditure. Grant her these, M. Thiers, or atleast offer them to her, and the capital can be talked over to an arrangement. But the Republic must be maintained at the same time, or the question of this form of government fully and fairly submitted to the nation. Your present Assembly is a convulse of monarchical factions and faint-hearted republicans; the Russian Minister of Foreign Affairs did not hesitate to affirm that even the London Cabinet admitted that the matter in hand was one of the gravest questions for the independence of Continental States, and that the Queen's Government would therefore desist from its pretensions. For if it were otherwise, he added, the presence of British subjects in any foreign country would be a real scourge, and serve as an instrument for the revolutionaries of all countries to create difficulties for their respective Governments. England withdrew the claims.

The same principle was laid down in 1851 by the United States when Mr. Secretary Webster rejected the Spanish claims in reference to the New Orleans riots on the grounds that-

"Foreigners established within the territory of the Republic, to transact their affairs, become ipso facto subject to the same laws and tribunals as the citizens of the country, and the Federal Government cannot be responsible for the consequences of a riot."

Spain also admitted the same principle in the claims of Spanish subjects against the Government of Caracas for damages sustained in the revolution of Venezuela, in 1859. The same law has been applied during the last revolution in Poland, and in reference to the recent American war; in both which cases numbers of foreign residents sustained heavy losses, and, nevertheless no European State has thought fit to lay claims against the Governments in question.

Finally the same doctrine is upheld by our own Law of October 1st 1839, with reference both to natives and foreigners, and which the latter are bound to respect from the moment they set foot on the territory of the Republic.

Having given these explanations I have now the pleasure to renew the assurance of my highest esteem.

Corles Tejedor

As respects the bombardment of Greytown by the Americans, to which Mr. Tejedor alludes, it has no parity with the present case, since the Americans were making war on the King of Moquito. The question with Texas and Naples is somewhat parallel, but we are not acquainted with the merits of the case, to form any judgment. More similar than any is the instance of Englishmen who sustained injury in the Southern States during the American war, and for whom the Alabama Commissioners have waived all claim. But then Great Britain recognized the Southern as belligerents, whereas Her Majesty's Government never recognized Lopez Jordan or his administration.

OUR PARIS LETTER. Paris, May 8th, 1871. The dreadful artillery dialogue continues, and the mitrailleuse reports fall like the blows of a sledge-hammer on an anvil. Houses are demolished with an unparalling rapidity and impartiality; fires break out with a suddenness which is appalling and an intensity that laughs at subjection. Brothers dispute the ground inch by inch, struggle blit to blit and fraternize only in death. The soil trembles under the roar of cannon-it is the earthquake year for Paris, and a gentleman from Peru has assured me he imagines often he is in his own country. Deputation after Deputation passes between the combatants, but each replies 'No Surrender.' M. Thiers pursues his blood and iron policy, resolved to bombard Paris into National fraternity; the Federals here equally determined to keep him outside the city, where they find more than six weeks ago. France feels she is slipping away from the sympathy of foreign nations, but which reserve for her a profound pity. The bulletins of the civil war shock, and in any case the conquerors must have their wreaths not in laurel but in cypress.

Like another Mephistopheles, Prince Bismarck appears on the scene in this 'Fight for the Commune' by promising assuring the new Germans of Alsace and Lorraine they shall have full 'Communal' rights. It is a temptation almost powerful enough to induce Parisians to emigrate to these happy lands-By the bye, as a piece of vital statistics, it would be interesting to know how many inhabitants of the conquered territory have left, to rest French, rather than German against their will.

M. Picard, who, as Home Minister, announces to the Assembly the progress of MacMahon, assures us that this time the insurrection in Paris approaches its end. Few in the city can discover the evidence of such an assertion, although there are few who do not long for such a consummation. We have heard this assurance so often that it is now ranked among the 'positively last nights' of the theatres, or the 'final farwells' of popular artists. Moral, rather than physical, force should conquer Paris; if otherwise, the dissensions and conspiracies that will follow after victory will prove more fatal to France than the timely concession of allowing Paris to elect her own Mayor, direct her own municipal agents and police, and decide upon her civic expenditure. Grant her these, M. Thiers, or atleast offer them to her, and the capital can be talked over to an arrangement. But the Republic must be maintained at the same time, or the question of this form of government fully and fairly submitted to the nation. Your present Assembly is a convulse of monarchical factions and faint-hearted republicans; the Russian Minister of Foreign Affairs did not hesitate to affirm that even the London Cabinet admitted that the matter in hand was one of the gravest questions for the independence of Continental States, and that the Queen's Government would therefore desist from its pretensions. For if it were otherwise, he added, the presence of British subjects in any foreign country would be a real scourge, and serve as an instrument for the revolutionaries of all countries to create difficulties for their respective Governments. England withdrew the claims.

The same principle was laid down in 1851 by the United States when Mr. Secretary Webster rejected the Spanish claims in reference to the New Orleans riots on the grounds that-

"Foreigners established within the territory of the Republic, to transact their affairs, become ipso facto subject to the same laws and tribunals as the citizens of the country, and the Federal Government cannot be responsible for the consequences of a riot."

Spain also admitted the same principle in the claims of Spanish subjects against the Government of Caracas for damages sustained in the revolution of Venezuela, in 1859. The same law has been applied during the last revolution in Poland, and in reference to the recent American war; in both which cases numbers of foreign residents sustained heavy losses, and, nevertheless no European State has thought fit to lay claims against the Governments in question.

Finally the same doctrine is upheld by our own Law of October 1st 1839, with reference both to natives and foreigners, and which the latter are bound to respect from the moment they set foot on the territory of the Republic.

Having given these explanations I have now the pleasure to renew the assurance of my highest esteem.

Corles Tejedor

